

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PIMA

THE STATE OF ARIZONA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) NO. CR20251060-001
) NO. CR20251454-001
LANE JEFFERY MYERS,)
)
Defendant.)
-----)

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE D. DOUGLAS METCALF
Judge of the Superior Court
Division 16

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
HEARING RE: DISPOSITION HEARING

OCTOBER 6, 2026

TUCSON, ARIZONA

Reported By: ELIZABETH LUMIA, OFFICIAL
RMR, Certified Reporter #50392

1 A P P E A R A N C E S:

2

3 PIMA COUNTY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

4 BY: RACHEL L. STILES, ESQ.

5 Counsel for State

6

7 MARK R. RESNICK, ESQ.

8 Counsel for Defendant

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

P R O C E E D I N G S

1
2
3 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Let's go on
4 the record in the matter of State of Arizona vs. Lane
5 Jeffery Myers, CR20251060 and CR20251454. Time set for
6 disposition hearing on the Petition to Revoke. Parties'
7 appearances, we'll start with the State.

8 MS. STILES: Rachel Stiles for the State, Your
9 Honor. The victim is present.

10 MR. RESNICK: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark
11 Resnick on behalf of Mr. Myers, he's present, he's in
12 custody, he's seated next to me.

13 THE COURT: All right. So there are a couple of
14 preliminary matters I want to address. I've previously
15 entered orders that there is no audio recording or video
16 recording of today's hearing for the reason that I
17 believe it could be used to harm the victims. I will
18 allow people to use laptops for making notes today as
19 long as it's not recording anything.

20 Mr. Resnick, you filed a motion on September 15
21 and we didn't address that last time. How do you want to
22 address that today?

23 MR. RESNICK: If the Court could remind me which
24 motion that was.

25 THE COURT: Motion to Dismiss Probation

1 Revocation Petition. It's raising the First Amendment
2 argument.

3 MR. RESNICK: Yeah, so obviously we're creating
4 our record for appeal. The facts will not be any
5 different than the facts that the Court has heard. It's
6 just a separate vehicle by which to address the issue for
7 First Amendment purposes. So I would assume the Court is
8 going to deny it, or we could set it for another hearing
9 if the Court wants to do that, make a more thorough
10 record. I believe that the record on that motion would
11 be identical to the testimonial record that the Court has
12 already heard, so however the Court wants to handle it is
13 fine with me. I just needed that record set for the next
14 steps.

15 THE COURT: This motion addresses whether the
16 probation conditions violate free speech, not whether the
17 prosecution originally did, correct?

18 MR. RESNICK: Correct.

19 THE COURT: Ms. Stiles, did you want to add?

20 MS. STILES: Your Honor, just that I believe
21 that we have addressed some of this already before in
22 that probation conditions can restrict someone's
23 constitutional rights as long as there is a nexus to what
24 is being accomplished through probation. So this is
25 something we have addressed with Mr. Myers' motions and

1 things that he filed originally with this case. So I
2 think the Court can go ahead and rule on it using the
3 same record that we already have made in this case.

4 THE COURT: Yeah, I agree. I'm going to deny
5 the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Probation Revocation
6 Petition filed on September 15. The Court finds that the
7 conditions of probation imposed are constitutional. I
8 believe that the case was the *Kessler* case, does that
9 sound right?

10 MS. STILES: That sounds right.

11 THE COURT: Following the *Kessler* case, as long
12 as there is a nexus between the probation conditions and
13 the underlying criminal conduct the Court is trying to
14 prevent. I imposed those conditions to try to protect
15 the victim in this harassment case, and limit the
16 Defendant's free speech to that which was harassing
17 towards the victim. So I am going to deny that.

18 Mr. Myers had also filed a pro per Motion to
19 Clarify Probation. I'm going to address that at the end.
20 So let's get started here. What I'm going to do is enter
21 judgment as to the charges and then hear the arguments of
22 the parties. We can start with the victim, and then the
23 prosecutor's recommendation, and then defense counsel,
24 and then the Defendant.

25 Mr. Myers, please give me your full name. Your

1 full name.

2 THE DEFENDANT: Lane Myers.

3 THE COURT: Your date of birth.

4 THE DEFENDANT: October 15, 1982.

5 THE COURT: The Court found that the Defendant
6 violated probation following an evidentiary hearing that
7 concluded on September 16, 2026, and enters judgment the
8 Defendant is guilty of CR20251060, Amended Count
9 Fourteen: Stalking, Distress/Fear, in violation of
10 13-2923A1, a Class Five, nondangerous, nonrepetitive
11 offense, committed between September 12, 2024 and
12 February 22, 2025.

13 And in CR20251454, Amended Count One: Aggravated
14 Harassment, Court Order In Place, in violation of
15 13-2921.01A1, a Class Six felony, nondangerous,
16 nonrepetitive offense, committed on March 16, 2025.

17 The Court has reviewed the presentence report
18 dated June 26, 2025, the addendum dated October 1, 2026.
19 I've also reviewed the victim's letter of October 1,
20 2026; letters in support of the victim from the original
21 sentencing hearing that are filed in Agave; letters from
22 the Defendant's mother, stepfather, and sister.

23 So with that, do you want to hear from the
24 victim first?

25 MS. STILES: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Again, take your time. We're not in
2 any hurry today, okay?

3 THE VICTIM: Thank you, Your Honor. When Lane
4 was sentenced last time, this Court gave him a chance. I
5 had serious doubts that probation would work, but I had
6 hoped I was wrong. I hoped Lane would comply with the
7 Court's orders, leave me and my family alone, and move on
8 with his life. He did not, and that is why we are back
9 here.

10 When it came to me and my family, what was
11 required of him was very simple: leave us alone. He
12 refuses to do so. He continued targeting me. He
13 continued finding ways to insert himself into my life and
14 keep his campaign of harassment going. He continued
15 calling me a professional liar and a fake victim, talking
16 about revenge against me, seeking information about me
17 and my spouse, implying he knows where our home is,
18 threatening to come to my workplace again, and directing
19 other people's anger towards me. And somehow after all
20 that, Mr. Resnick stood in this court and suggested that
21 I should ignore Lane. I find that truly disgusting.
22 Apparently Mr. Resnick's solution to a man who refuses to
23 stop stalking and harassing a woman is for the woman to
24 become more accommodating about being stalked and
25 harassed. Lane is the one on felony probation. He is

1 the person ordered to change his behavior, and he chose
2 not to.

3 So I have a suggestion. How about Lane ignores
4 me? How about Lane stops stalking and harassing me? How
5 about Lane leaves my family alone? How about Lane
6 controls himself for once? These are not new
7 expectations. Lane agreed to them more than a year ago
8 when he admitted his guilt.

9 And I want to be very clear about something
10 else. I believe Lane is dangerous. Lane has crossed
11 boundary after boundary. Arrest, jail, felony
12 convictions, court orders, probation restrictions, none
13 of it has made him stop. So after ignoring all of these
14 other boundaries, what possible basis do I have for
15 believing physical violence is the one line that Lane
16 would never cross? I should not be expected to bet my
17 safety or my family's safety on that hope.

18 The danger does not end with Lane. He has built
19 an audience around his lies, his anger, and his
20 grievances. He tells them I am a liar, corrupt, a fake
21 victim who has wronged him. He talks about revenge
22 against me. He gives them reasons to hate me and then he
23 gives them information they can use to find me. I have
24 watched hundreds, if not thousands, of complete strangers
25 become personally invested in punishing me. They've

1 investigated me and taken an interest in my children.
2 Thanks to Lane, they all know where I work, and besides
3 that, they have actively tried to find where we live.

4 These people do not know me. I have done
5 nothing to them. Lane lied to them and made me their
6 enemy, and they were willing participants in his campaign
7 against me. I have to worry that people I have never
8 even met might be willing -- what they might be willing
9 to do because of Lane's lies.

10 Mr. Resnick also said that Lane cannot control
11 his followers. That is not a defense. That is part of
12 the danger. Lane does not get to feed people lies about
13 me, direct their anger towards me, and then wash his
14 hands of whatever they decide to do with it. I don't
15 know whether the person who ultimately crosses that line
16 will be Lane or one of his followers. I should not have
17 to find out.

18 And what makes it even more infuriating is that
19 I did absolutely nothing to put myself in this situation.
20 Lane chose to make me his victim. I never interacted
21 with Lane, I had no relationship with him, no dispute
22 with him, and had done nothing to him or anyone
23 associated with him. He chose to target me because he
24 believed that frightening me and my family could pressure
25 my husband to stop prosecuting him. Targeting someone's

1 wife and family because you want to intimidate him is
2 cowardice, and Lane Myers is a coward.

3 Lane likes to cast himself as some kind of First
4 Amendment warrior. He talks endlessly about his rights.
5 His right to speak, his right to associate, his right to
6 say and do whatever he wants. But Lane does not have
7 some principled commitment to the First Amendment. Lane
8 Myers is a grifter. He uses the First Amendment as an
9 excuse for harassment and stalking, and sells his
10 followers a story in which holding him accountable
11 somehow makes him the victim.

12 When someone says something Lane doesn't like,
13 his response is intimidation. He threatens lawsuits. He
14 threatens people personally. He threatens to turn his
15 followers on them. He reveals where they live. And
16 apparently he also thinks he gets to decide who is
17 allowed to talk to me. Someone simply tried to make sure
18 that I was aware of Lane's conduct so that I could
19 protect myself, and he turned that act of basic human
20 decency into a grievance. There is something especially
21 disturbing about the man who stalked me thinking he also
22 gets to control who talks to me. Apparently Lane
23 believes deeply in free speech as long as Lane is the one
24 speaking. That is not a First Amendment warrior. That
25 is a bully and a hypocrite.

1 Your Honor, when Lane was sentenced, the people
2 around him assured this Court that he had learned his
3 lesson, jail had deterred him, and his support system
4 would help him comply. None of that proved true. Lane
5 was not deterred. He did not accept responsibility. He
6 did not comply.

7 And as for the people who told this Court that
8 they would help him succeed, what I have seen looks much
9 more like enabling than accountability. Lane's mother
10 told this Court that his arrest and time in jail would be
11 a wake-up call and that their family would help him
12 succeed on probation. But this summer, Lane broadcast a
13 call in which the staff for an elected official stated
14 that she had tried to get that official to intervene on
15 Lane's behalf. Instead of helping Lane accept the
16 consequences of his own conduct, Lane's mother was just
17 trying to make those consequences go away.

18 Katherine Hendricks also told this Court that
19 Lane had the support necessary to comply and that the
20 restrictions on posting about me would stop his conduct.
21 But then she gave Lane's video to Josh Gray, the very
22 person Lane was prohibited from associating with, and
23 Josh Gray used them to create another YouTube channel
24 called Shannon Walker Lies. That is not support. That
25 is enabling Lane's continued harassment of me. Lane

1 needed people around him who were willing to tell him to
2 stop and move on, frankly, for his sake as much as mine.
3 Instead, everyone else is the problem. Everyone
4 apparently except Lane.

5 Your Honor, I've had enough. It has been more
6 than two years of documenting Lane, reporting him,
7 talking to police officers, prosecutors, probation
8 officers, and lawyers, preparing for hearings, and
9 sitting in court because he refuses to leave me alone. I
10 am very angry at how much time I have been forced to
11 spend dealing with Lane Myers. All of this was happening
12 while I was working, trying to live a normal life with my
13 husband, doing everything we could to shield our children
14 from this, and watching my father die of cancer. My time
15 with my dad was already limited, and during that time, he
16 knew what was happening to me. He worried about my
17 safety when he was sick. I hate that. I hate that my
18 dad was dying and he worried about me and whether I was
19 going to be okay all because Lane decided to make up a
20 bunch of lies.

21 Ultimately, I did not choose this. Lane did.
22 But I am the one who has been serving a sentence. I am
23 the one whose life has had to change. I am the one whose
24 behavior has had to change. I've had to think about my
25 safety in ways that I never did before. I have had to

1 worry about my husband and my children and wonder what
2 Lane or someone he brought into this might do next. I'm
3 not the person who committed these crimes. I am the
4 person Lane committed them against. This is not my
5 sentence and I refuse to let Lane Myers make it my life.

6 I would be thrilled to never have to think about
7 him again and have him be completely irrelevant to me,
8 but I cannot ignore conduct that threatens my safety or
9 my family's safety. I will not tolerate Lane continuing
10 to stalk or harass me, directly or through other people,
11 and I will not apologize for addressing it. But I cannot
12 make Lane stop. There are consequences only this Court
13 can impose, and, Your Honor, I'm asking you to impose
14 them.

15 I want my life back. I want my time back. I
16 want to feel safe again. I want my family left alone. I
17 have lived with the consequences of Lane Myers' choices
18 long enough. It is time for Lane to live with them.
19 Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Walker. Before we
21 continue, can you get the microphone and that stand.

22 Go ahead, Ms. Stiles.

23 MS. STILES: Your Honor, it's very disappointing
24 that we're back here today under these circumstances.
25 Mr. Myers was given the chance on probation. He was

1 given the chance to just go back to his life, go back to
2 the things that were important to him, go back to his
3 relationship, his home. He likes to bike-ride. Go back
4 and do those things, and all he had to do was leave the
5 victim alone, and he just cannot do that. He has
6 demonstrated that he needs greater consequences than what
7 were initially imposed by this Court in order to stop
8 harassing this victim.

9 You know, Ms. Walker mentioned that Mr. Myers
10 likes to use threats to get what he wants. So if
11 something doesn't go the way he wants, he threatens
12 lawsuits. He puts you on YouTube. He harasses you.
13 That's the way he goes about doing things when something
14 is going against what he wants, and that has been
15 demonstrated here in court, when we played the videos of
16 those jail calls that were then broadcast on YouTube,
17 where he was threatening me that if I am seeking prison
18 time against him, that he is just going to ramp up his
19 harassment of the victim, which is in turn threatening
20 the court, that if he were to be sentenced to prison, he
21 believes that prison won't enforce any terms or anything,
22 and that he will then be able to associate with whom he
23 wants, and he will be able to keep talking about this
24 victim and her husband. So again, he's trying to
25 threaten in order to get what he wants, which is to avoid

1 accountability and just get back on probation.

2 You know, Mr. Myers also likes to talk about
3 that he doesn't have control over other people, but I
4 want to point out that since this Court found him in
5 violation of his probation, everything has died down. He
6 stopped doing the jail interviews and suddenly everything
7 quieted down, because the only reason that all these
8 people on YouTube have an interest in this victim is
9 because of Mr. Myers. So he is the catalyst, he is the
10 fuel to that fire, he is the one that is directing all
11 these people, who would have no reason to know of Shannon
12 Walker's name but for Mr. Myers, to then take their ire
13 out on her. And he has done that with, as the victim
14 noted, through lies, you know, saying that he got his
15 wife fired, but we heard from the stand where she said
16 that the person who got her fired was Mr. Myers himself.
17 He doesn't take accountability for his own actions.

18 And what is really dangerous about Mr. Myers and
19 why I now consider him a danger is because he has
20 demonstrated he is absolutely obsessed with this victim.
21 He is obsessed with this victim to a point where he
22 cannot seem to move forward in his life. He cannot stop.
23 Even when he is incarcerated and he is facing threat of
24 even further incarceration, he is putting out more jail
25 interviews where his discussion of the victim just was

1 getting more and more derogatory as he went along. It
2 was worse than even those initial videos that caused him
3 to go into custody. The things he was saying about her,
4 the fact that he stated himself that if he goes to
5 prison, the gloves are going to be off. That he will
6 never, ever, ever, ever, ever stop talking about
7 Mr. Walker and his wife. You know, these are things he
8 has demonstrated that he will not stop this focus on her.

9 And when you look at why did he even get focused
10 on her in the first place, that's what's really
11 concerning, is she never had any connection to him. It's
12 because she is the wife of the prosecutor who was
13 prosecuting him for a misdemeanor case that has since
14 gone away, and then he has continued when she has done
15 things to protect herself.

16 So in trying to figure out what the State is
17 going to be recommending, I was looking at all those
18 factors. What can we do to protect the victim from
19 further harm from Mr. Myers. What we can do to get him
20 so that he is away from YouTube. So what I am seeking
21 are two things. What I'm asking for is that the Court,
22 in the case of CR20251060, which is the stalking count,
23 I'm asking for the Court to sentence him to the
24 one-and-a-half-year presumptive term. He has credit for
25 192 days served. That's a class five felony, and that

1 captures a range of September 2024 to February 2025.

2 Then I'm asking the Court to impose a
3 consecutive period of probation, to reinstate him to
4 probation upon his completion of that prison term, and
5 that would be on the aggravated harassment in the
6 20251454.

7 I looked at the time he has spent, so from the
8 time of the violation, the Court noted in its findings of
9 a violation for the video he posted on June 30, of 2026,
10 so from that time to when he would be reinstated to
11 probation, that would be tolled, so that would allow that
12 he still has two full years of probation that he can have
13 ordered, to commence again upon his release from the
14 Department of Corrections. And we're asking that he then
15 be on the IPS standard of probation so that he has that
16 increased supervision level to make sure that when he
17 would get out of custody, that he does not go back to the
18 same behaviors that he has been engaging in this entire
19 time. That is permitted under 13-903, that allows that
20 period of tolling, and allows him to essentially start
21 that probation again once he is released from the
22 Department of Corrections.

23 I would note for aggravation, we've got, under
24 13-701(D), that the victim has suffered emotional harm
25 throughout this crime. This has been going on for over

1 two years. As she has so eloquently stated, she has
2 explained the trauma that she has been suffering, the
3 work trauma, personal trauma, just never feeling safe
4 from something that just won't stop.

5 This offense also would be an aggravator under
6 13-701(D)(19), which says the offense was committed in
7 retaliation for the victim reporting criminal activity.
8 So his campaign against the victim escalated when she got
9 a protective order against him. And so that is an
10 additional statutory aggravator for the Court to find,
11 that she was reporting his criminal activity, and then he
12 then initiated this campaign against her.

13 And then there is the catchall, as I noted under
14 13-701(D)(27), as I noted, the obsession with the victim
15 despite being incarcerated and losing everything that's
16 important to him. You heard in the jail interviews that
17 he is never going to stop this. That if he is sentenced
18 to prison, he's going to continue doing this behavior.
19 He had the chance on probation to turn things around. He
20 did for a period of time and then he went right back to
21 the same behavior that he had been doing before. Despite
22 facing the probation revocation, he was still doing the
23 jail interviews and doing the increasingly disparaging
24 comments about the victim. And, you know, the fact that
25 he is in control of his behavior, as demonstrated by how

1 he is even -- I don't have additional videos to bring to
2 the Court today because after that last hearing date, he
3 has quieted down, which shows that he is capable of
4 following it; he's just choosing not to.

5 But Mr. Myers is a danger. He is a danger
6 because he is a man who is losing hope. He feels that
7 everything is being taken from him, but instead of taking
8 accountability for his own actions, he's blaming it on
9 the victim. So everything he has lost, he's attributed
10 that to the victim in deciding that she is the reason
11 that he is in this situation. That is what makes him an
12 absolute danger, because he is now viewing her as the
13 reason that he has lost everything important to him, and
14 that is what puts her in danger from him. That is what
15 puts her in danger from any of his followers, because it
16 only takes one. And she shouldn't have to live her life
17 in fear because this man cannot stop his obsession with
18 her.

19 Probation has tried to do things to curb his
20 behavior. I think incarceration at this point in the
21 Department of Corrections is necessary to give him time
22 away to reflect on his actions, be away from society
23 where he can do harm to the victim, and then I want the
24 consecutive probation time so that when he comes out,
25 that he has those probation terms with increased terms to

1 make sure that he continues to be someone that we don't
2 have to worry about, someone that the victim does not
3 have to be looking over her shoulder, wondering if
4 Mr. Myers' obsession is going to now cross the line and
5 cause her harm, cause her physical harm or even worse.
6 So that's what we're asking for. Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Let me ask you, Ms. Stiles, if you
8 are recommending a revocation of probation on the first
9 count, why would you think that probation would be
10 available on the second count given your petition to
11 revoke, which indicated that you didn't think he was able
12 to follow probation terms?

13 MS. STILES: Your Honor, because he has
14 demonstrated that he can follow the terms, so he can
15 follow the terms when he wants to. So I think it's
16 important, this Plea Agreement was structured in a way
17 that gave the Court a lot of options because of the fact
18 that we have two counts with differing dates that allowed
19 for the consecutive sentencing. So the Court has the
20 ability to sentence to prison on one and probation on the
21 other.

22 The importance, I think, is sentencing him to
23 prison for a longer period of time, I don't even think
24 that's what the victim wants. What the victim ultimately
25 wants is just for him to leave her alone. That's what

1 we're trying to accomplish here. We're trying to ensure
2 that she is safe from his behavior. So what this would
3 do, the structure of what we did with this Plea Agreement
4 is to give those options to the Court.

5 So we're asking for the prison term so he is
6 removed from society for a period of time and stops the
7 behavior. But then that we're asking for an escalated
8 probation period once he gets out to make sure that when
9 he transitions into the community, that he transitions
10 well into the community, that he is transitioning being
11 supported, making sure that he is being monitored, to
12 make sure that when he comes out, that he is not a threat
13 to this victim, that he has moved on with his life and
14 stays on law-abiding behavior.

15 THE COURT: You said that he has about two years
16 left of probation terms on 1454, the second case?

17 MS. STILES: Yes, because he was on probation
18 for a year from the date of the violation. So he was put
19 on probation on July 1, of 2025. The date of violation
20 that the Court found was June 30, of 2026. So that
21 allows for him to still have two years of probation left,
22 so once he is essentially reinstated.

23 So the way the Court would do it is you would
24 have to order him to the prison term, and then note that
25 the probation term would commence upon the completion of

1 his prison term, and then he would have an additional two
2 years. And then if he still hasn't learned his lesson,
3 he still is engaging in this campaign against this
4 victim, then it would allow the Court to again take him
5 into custody and then he would face increased
6 incarceration time again.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Resnick.

8 MR. RESNICK: Judge, it's my understanding, I
9 could be wrong, I wasn't prepared for that, but it's my
10 understanding that the tolling occurs on the date the
11 petition is filed, not the date of the offense, of the
12 alleged offense. We do that all the time. Probation is
13 stacked up, probation will stack up five, six, seven,
14 eight, nine, ten allegations. Somebody will plead to
15 one. We don't toll the probation from the time of the
16 offense. We toll it from the time that the petition is
17 filed. That's my understanding of the law. I just want
18 that on the record. And that would be, July 11 would be
19 the date.

20 THE COURT: So the addendum report says
21 probation is tolled July 9 through October 5, 88 days.

22 MR. RESNICK: That sounds right.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. STILES: 13-903(B) says it's from the date
25 of the violation.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. RESNICK: Well, I think the State is using
3 that, I think the date of the violation is the date that
4 it's filed, not the date alleged in the --

5 THE COURT: All right.

6 MR. RESNICK: So, just about everything I've
7 heard, Judge, was from the time before Lane was placed on
8 probation. That's the majority of complaints I heard
9 today. And obviously we disagree with the Court's
10 findings, but that's for another day.

11 I don't have a lot to add to that. The Court is
12 going to do what the Court is going to do. I don't know
13 that there is a lot that I could say that is going to
14 change the Court's mind at this point. I really don't.
15 I will point out a few things, though. I don't want to
16 make it personal, but the victim, in her statement, said
17 that my solution when a woman is harassed is for the
18 woman to be more accommodating. It's never been my
19 position. Never would be, nor would I ever tell my
20 daughter to adopt a position like that, ever. Ever.

21 My position is that the First Amendment is the
22 First Amendment, and it doesn't matter who you are. It
23 applies to you. And people are going to say things about
24 you, and you have to live with that. And that's what I
25 tell my daughter. She doesn't get some special treatment

1 because her feelings are hurt. She doesn't get some
2 special treatment because she doesn't like the speech.
3 The solution to bad speech is more speech, not the
4 curtailment of speech. I think that has been a First
5 Amendment driving principle since the founding of this
6 republic.

7 Nobody has to like Lane's speech. Lane and I
8 talk about this all the time. I don't like Lane's
9 speech. I don't like it at all. But I also think people
10 have the right to say things that other people find
11 offensive. Whether or not that crossed into harassment,
12 the Court has made its ruling. This is why the plan is
13 to challenge the harassment statute and to challenge the
14 findings of what constitutes harassment, what speech
15 could constitute harassment in Arizona. That's obviously
16 unresolved and it's going to have to be resolved.

17 The State is sort of trying to have it both
18 ways. They say, well, everything has quieted down now
19 that he's not talking. But, and then they also say, but
20 he's never going to stop. Well, he stopped. He stopped,
21 and he stopped of his own volition.

22 Now, it is true that everything quiets down when
23 you stop talking about it. Of course it does. And I
24 guess if none of us ever said anything about anything,
25 none of us would ever have to hear anything we don't

1 like. But that's not how a free society works. It's
2 just not. Everybody gets to say their piece, and the
3 solution to bad speech is more speech.

4 What Lane had said he would never stop talking
5 about was his case. And I know the State would love it
6 if he never did, but he's going to. And I think he has
7 every right to talk about his case. I think every
8 defendant should have, whether they're on probation or
9 not, should have the right to talk about their case.
10 They should have the right to talk about their victim.
11 They should have the right to talk about the ways in
12 which they think they were wronged. They should have the
13 right to talk about the system and the things they would
14 change in the system and all of those things, because the
15 solution is more speech.

16 The State says that, well, the State and the
17 victim say that Lane cannot control his -- well, Lane
18 claims he has no control over his followers. Lane talks.
19 This presupposes a lot of things, Judge. It presupposes
20 Lane knows who his followers are. That he can call them
21 to arms in some way. The things that he says when people
22 will hear them and get upset, you can't make people act
23 unless they have a reason to act, and you can't make
24 people act. And Lane never suggested, while on
25 probation, that anyone act in any way. He never did

1 that. He didn't tell anyone to contact the victim. He
2 didn't tell anyone, we went through this several times
3 with every witness the State called: Do you have any
4 evidence that Lane told anyone to contact you? No. Do
5 you have any evidence that Lane had any control over
6 anyone from whom you got contact? No. Do you know any
7 person who contacted you? No. That was the testimony.

8 What the State and the victim are arguing for,
9 saying that Lane has some control over them, he does not.
10 The only control, the only control in a free society is
11 institutional control. It's the control he's under now.
12 That's the only control. Lane doesn't have that power.
13 Only the State can make people do stuff. Nobody else
14 can. And for the State to say that Lane makes people do
15 things is insulting. He doesn't have that power. They
16 have that power, and they're attempting now to use that
17 power to silence him.

18 I'll point out the call, the idea that Lane's
19 family had said that they would support him, but then his
20 mom did something that was unsupportive. What she did is
21 she called her state representative. What else does a
22 citizen do? You're going to say I went and talked to my
23 state representative. Well, there you go. You're not
24 helping your son on probation.

25 The State says that he is a danger. He spoke.

1 Let's be honest about it. He didn't go to anyone's
2 house. He didn't show up at anyone's door. He didn't
3 ask anyone to do that. The State presented absolutely
4 zero evidence that any of those things occurred or would
5 occur. Zero. Nothing. He spoke. That's what he did.

6 Speech is not dangerous. There is no dangerous
7 speech. There is no such thing. There never has been.
8 And the solution to speech that people consider to be a
9 bad idea or a dangerous idea, because all words are
10 ideas, is more speech. Then sharper your verbal scimitar
11 and meet the argument. That's how we solve things and
12 remain free. We don't use institutional power to crush
13 speech.

14 And the last thing I'll point out, Judge, in
15 this case is, for whatever Lane did in those videos, for
16 whatever the content of them were, the victims went
17 looking for them. That was the testimony. That's the
18 record. They went looking for those videos. They went
19 out of their way to find them. They went to the speech
20 and then complained of the speech.

21 At some point, I guess, this case will resolve.
22 We'll find out what harassment means in Arizona. We'll
23 find out what speech constitutes harassment, if any.
24 We're going to find that out, I guess, in the next couple
25 years, I suppose. So I'm not going to give you a

1 recommendation. I don't have one. I'll let Lane speak,
2 and if Lane has a recommendation, I'll let him do that.
3 Thank you.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Myers. Remember we have a court
5 reporter, so try to have a cadence that she can keep up
6 with you, okay?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I don't know what I'm allowed to
8 say, because if I talk about my case, I get put in a cage
9 and say that I'm dangerous by people who never met me.
10 So I don't know. Am I allowed to address what she said?
11 I mean, am I allowed to address what the State said?
12 What am I exactly allowed to say without getting further
13 consequences?

14 THE COURT: You're allowed to advocate for
15 yourself how you want the case to end.

16 THE DEFENDANT: I want it to end?

17 THE COURT: How you want it to end. You can
18 tell me what you want to tell me.

19 THE DEFENDANT: No, I can't, but I know what you
20 mean. So, I just saw the report they do at the beginning
21 of the hearing, and I believe that the law says that you
22 can lodge objections to that, so that's why you're
23 supposed to get it ahead of time. So is that still --

24 THE COURT: What's the objection you have to the
25 report?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Well, there's a lot of miss --
2 if I say lies, is that --

3 THE COURT: No. I held a trial in this issue,
4 so the facts.

5 THE DEFENDANT: About the objections and stuff?

6 THE COURT: No, I held a trial as to whether you
7 violated probation. So the addendum report doesn't
8 really assist as to that because I heard --

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, I'm not saying that -- I'm
10 saying that the quote. I'm saying that there is things
11 in that report.

12 THE COURT: Which things?

13 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, well, it says that I
14 committed crimes against somebody in Phoenix. Where's
15 those charges?

16 THE COURT: I don't remember reading that.

17 THE DEFENDANT: It says it here in the
18 beginning.

19 MR. RESNICK: Judge, there is, in the addendum,
20 under the factors when they're doing the OST, they
21 mentioned, they mentioned that Mr. Myers harassed, or it
22 certainly implies that he has completed offenses in
23 Phoenix, which are, to our knowledge, absolutely not
24 true. There is no completed offenses in Phoenix. We
25 understand that there is, well, yesterday, I received by

1 voicemail an interview with a detective that occurred,
2 but that's the last thing, but that's all I'm aware of,
3 is that there is an investigation regarding statements
4 that Mr. Lane Myers made while trying to accomplish a
5 FOYA request. But nothing was completed, as far as I'm
6 aware.

7 THE COURT: I'm not going to use that,
8 Mr. Myers.

9 THE DEFENDANT: It says that I committed six
10 offenses in Phoenix right at the beginning of it.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 THE DEFENDANT: Okay, so we don't need to --

13 THE COURT: Right.

14 THE DEFENDANT: So as far as, I think then that
15 if we go back, it wasn't exactly at sentencing, I don't
16 know if I want to pull your memory on something, but it
17 was like a few hearings before sentencing, like before
18 trial. We're going back, you know, what I mean? Back to
19 when the whole proceedings were going. I believe it was
20 because we were -- I think it was the day of the plea
21 bargain, actually. Like the day that you do your little
22 plea and sign and all that. And we asked if I could be
23 released, you know, like on, you know, modified, you know
24 what I'm saying? Release.

25 THE COURT: Pending sentencing?

1 THE DEFENDANT: Adjust my release now that I've
2 pled guilty. And you said you wanted to wait because
3 probation was going to be guardrailing. You wanted to
4 have some guardrails -- this is your words now. I'm not
5 sure if you remember, but you said the reason that you
6 would prefer to wait until you can, you know, do your
7 research, and write your conditions, and all that kind of
8 stuff, and have probation in place, is that because it
9 was going to be guard -- because you viewed that as with
10 somebody like me, who, you know, runs hot, is like, you
11 know, talks a lot, not dangerous, so I talk a lot, and it
12 seems like every time I talk, the State is just going to
13 keep charging me, or bringing it to you, or something
14 like that, and that you wanted -- you felt more
15 comfortable if I had guardrails in place so that there
16 was a buffer between my behavior and just straight to new
17 charges, or bond release, you know, coming back from
18 bond, or whatever. You now what I'm trying to say? And
19 you said that that's why you thought probation was going
20 to be those guardrails.

21 All right. Well, I believed you. You know, I
22 took you at your word. Made sense to me, you know.
23 Okay, so like you're saying that I'm going to have
24 somebody that, if there is something that is getting a
25 little too in one direction, guardrailing. You go

1 bumper-bowling, you bump the thing, it doesn't go into
2 the gutter. You know, there's mountain roads. You can't
3 just fly off the side. You hit the guardrail first.

4 I thought, well, hey, I wouldn't be opposed to
5 that. Sounds good, you know. I didn't see anything
6 negative about you saying that because I understand your
7 premise, you know, the premise of having the guardrails
8 there.

9 So then you released me on probation. Okay. I
10 met with my probation officer. A woman that is not --
11 see, there's a lot of miss -- I guess I would say perjury
12 by my probation officer at these revocation hearings,
13 because he claimed that he met with me when it wasn't
14 him. It was a woman named Felicia Ruanna Grisham who met
15 with me to sign my probation. He didn't come in for
16 about a month after that and we never talked about the
17 conditions. He just came to my house and made me take
18 down videos.

19 And any time I would ask what exactly can I, can
20 I not say, he said, I'm not a lawyer, I don't know. I
21 said, okay. So he said, but you can take it to court,
22 and we can have a hearing, and the judge can go over
23 that. Right? But if you do that, you're probably going
24 to get more restrictive conditions. That's a threat to
25 me.

1 Okay. So you're saying I have the option of
2 trying to clarify this through the Court, but there's
3 going to be consequences for me asking for clarification
4 of what I can and can't say on probation. He failed to
5 bring that up during his testimony that he told me that.
6 And I got it on the recorded video, you know. It's all
7 recorded. He failed to say that every time I emailed
8 him, he didn't return.

9 So when he brought me to jail that day to start
10 this period of incarceration, I asked him why doesn't he
11 return any of my emails? He said, well, I've got 300
12 people, and I get 120 emails a day. I don't have time
13 for all that. I only sent e-mails asking for
14 clarification. I had attempted to figure out what
15 exactly can I and can I not say, because it doesn't say
16 you can't talk. It says that when you talk, you have to
17 be within certain boundaries. Okay, so where are the
18 guardrails that I'm supposed -- Judge Metcalf said you're
19 going to be my guardrails. What are my guardrails?
20 Well, I don't got time for that.

21 Okay. So eleven months go by and I don't post.
22 No problems, right? Didn't make a single post on
23 YouTube. Nobody had an issue, right? But you didn't say
24 that I'm not allowed to post on YouTube. So somewhere in
25 there, there was still the ability for me to post on

1 YouTube from day one, provided that I was within the
2 criteria you listed in the conditions. I was allowed to
3 post. You even said, I'm not taking away your ability to
4 post. You reiterated that multiple times now. That I
5 was just, let's use the word putting guardrails again.
6 Okay.

7 Well, nobody told me I can't -- you can't talk
8 about your case. Nobody ever told me you're not allowed
9 to talk about your court case. And why would I think
10 that I can't talk about a public document? Why couldn't
11 I? Anybody can go on the court website.

12 So, okay, so, I go 11 months. I call lawyers,
13 speak to other judges in this court. I speak to First
14 Amendment scholars. Speak to people that have filed
15 motions in the past, like ACLU-type organizations for
16 like speech, probation. Like when people are on
17 probation, sometimes like organizations will step in like
18 amicus type things to help them argue their conditions.
19 I went through a bunch of case law. Spent 11 months
20 reading, you know, I spent about ten months. Yeah, it
21 was 11 months when I started posting.

22 What do you think about this? What do you think
23 these conditions mean? How could I tailor my posting in
24 a way to not -- because you've got to go by what's
25 written on the paper. Not hypothetically. Okay. So I

1 did all my research. That's why it wasn't like I locked
2 myself in the basement for 11 months. I was doing my
3 research.

4 They said, well, first of all, if it's public
5 record, absolutely that's not included in there. You
6 can't be stopped from talking about a public record. I
7 thought, okay. It says you can't, but then you refer to
8 the conditions. They say, well, if the conditions were
9 to say that, that would be unconstitutional. I said, no,
10 it doesn't say I can't talk about my case, because I can.
11 You know what it says. You know my conditions.

12 So I say, okay, well, would talking about my
13 case be talking about the victim? You know, the people I
14 talked to said I don't see how that correlates, like if
15 you are just talking about your case, this is what's
16 happened. Okay. So I took that, and then I filed the
17 motion to modify probation, you know, saying this is what
18 my research, talked to these organizations, ACLU and
19 stuff. I did my little motion.

20 And here's where we get back to the guardrails.
21 Right? Because remember, I didn't post for 11 months, so
22 there was nothing to talk about. There was nothing for
23 them to say, hey, you're doing something wrong. So I
24 didn't do anything. So I did that on my own. They
25 didn't tell me, you didn't tell me, and they didn't tell

1 me I can't post.

2 I said, you know what? Let's let this die down.
3 The person over there, the victim, I don't know what I
4 can or can't say, if I can say her name, Shannon Walker,
5 I don't know. When she talked at her, the time at
6 sentencing, she gave her little monologue. And I was
7 like, okay, yeah, it sounds like she really feels a
8 certain way about all this, so like I wouldn't want that
9 to be how she is going about, so let me just go the
10 complete opposite way and not talk about it. I'll do
11 that to myself.

12 I restrained myself. I gagged myself. Nobody
13 ordered me. Nobody told me there would be consequences
14 if I didn't, outside of the probation conditions, you
15 know what I mean? I'm saying, but there was no condition
16 of not posting. I could have been posting from the first
17 day that I got out. Just not certain things.

18 So I thought, you know what? The best thing is,
19 because they keep saying, they being the State and
20 Shannon, saying it's all my fault. It's all my fault if
21 I talk about anything that causes all these other people
22 to do things. And it got, it seemed like, well, I could
23 make a video about changing a bike tire, and they would,
24 somebody would find a way to contact her. You know what?
25 That was proven true.

1 I posted videos about going to the Grand Canyon
2 with my mom on Mother's Day, and this woman back here,
3 Judy, first thing she did, reached out to Shannon. Why
4 isn't she charged the harassment? She immediately called
5 Shannon and said, you know what? He's not making videos
6 about you, but he's making videos. Why? Why was she --
7 that proves what I thought the whole 11 months. I could
8 make a video about something completed unrelated, and
9 somehow someone, whether they, as they call them, my
10 followers, or somebody claiming to be a knight in shining
11 armor, or somebody who doesn't like me and just wants to
12 see me get in trouble, could find a way to make that into
13 a reason to contact Shannon Walker and lay the blame at
14 my feet.

15 So the first time Shannon Walker got contacted
16 in this whole situation because I made a video about
17 going to the Grand Canyon with my mom on Mother's Day.
18 Why did she need to get contacted for that? How does
19 that benefit Shannon Walker? What was this person
20 thinking would be the benefit of installing this worry
21 into Shannon Walker about something that didn't have
22 nothing to do with her? That wasn't my followers.
23 Quote, unquote, followers. That wasn't people, quote,
24 unquote, they like to associate with me. That's somebody
25 that claims to be helping -- that's somebody that texted

1 the victim back and forth. They're besties. They've got
2 each other's number and everything. Hey, look at what
3 he's doing now. That was a party that was not related to
4 me. So the first contact she had about me making videos
5 on probation was somebody related to her.

6 So we're getting to the guardrails. Right?

7 Okay. So now I'm making videos again. Not about
8 Shannon. Not about my case. Not about anything related
9 to that. Right? No reason that probation would need to
10 say anything about that. But for some reason, Shannon is
11 being contacted. Probation is being contacted. He's
12 making videos. He's making videos. You would think they
13 would put up the guardrail. Hey, Myers, I see you're
14 making videos again. Remember, there's guardrails in
15 place here. Why don't you -- do we need to make sure if
16 you're going to be making videos again, do we need to go
17 over that? Do we need to remind you? Are you going to
18 be okay out here driving the car, you know, without, you
19 know? Okay, well, don't crash into the wall.

20 Nothing. No contact from my probation officers
21 about that. Nothing about, hey, you're making videos.
22 Somebody is reaching out to the victim. You know, just
23 want to remind you. We haven't talked in, at that point,
24 probably like ten months. I didn't talk to them for ten
25 months.

1 So that would, to me, I mean, I'm not a neutral
2 party because I'm, you know, the defendant, but if I were
3 to place myself outside from, you know, looking at this
4 from above, hey, I haven't talked to him in ten, 11
5 months. This would be a good time to talk to him, touch
6 base, check in with him. Be like, hey, just making sure
7 you're doing -- you know. Hey, remember now, you are
8 still on probation. I know I haven't talked to you, you
9 haven't talked to your probation officer in 11, ten
10 months. You are still on probation, so make sure you
11 keep it within the boundaries. Nothing.

12 So then the State, according to the State, I
13 started making these videos referring to my case, without
14 talking about it directly, or saying the victim's name.
15 Apparently they testified that they were receiving, they
16 being probation was receiving emails from the victim
17 saying, hey, he's talking about his case. They said that
18 started in late May, early June. Okay. So now probation
19 is aware that I'm making videos. What did they do?
20 Nothing. They went and met with the victim a bunch of
21 times. They communicated with the victim, but they never
22 once communicated with me. They never once said we got
23 some reports of these videos you're making. We need to
24 talk about it. We need to decide if these are or are not
25 in that up against that guardrail here. Hey, you either

1 might have bumped into it even or you're getting really
2 close to bumping into it. Again, this would be a good
3 time, right? Remember, this was a month or month and a
4 half before they arrested me. Nothing. No intervention.
5 No hey, we care about you. We don't want to see you -- I
6 thought Metcalf said that there was going to be somebody
7 there to, quote, unquote, wave the yellow flag, wave the
8 red flag, slap me on the wrist, whatever, however you
9 want to term it. Hey, pump the brakes. You're getting
10 close. Nothing. Nothing. Not one contact with me, the
11 probationer, in that entire 11 months saying, hey, what
12 are you doing?

13 So they say that it was getting worse. It was
14 getting worse. It was getting worse. And it got to the
15 point where he just had to arrest me because they feared
16 for their safety. Now, I'm not going to sit here and
17 pretend that I wasn't the one they're accusing of
18 creating content online that led them to believe that.
19 But it's really concerning to me that you put these
20 people and this powerful institution of probation in
21 place to provide guidance and guardrails, and they just
22 sat back, and by their own testimony, it was getting
23 worse. It was getting worse. It was getting worse. The
24 victim was emailing us. We met with the victim. Sounds
25 like they should have intervened and said, hey, they're

1 saying I made a bunch of videos. Because they like to
2 make up stuff. Not make up stuff, but they like to throw
3 a bunch of stuff at the wall and change it at the last
4 second, as you saw through this. The day that I was
5 initial appearance, they handed us a list of 25
6 violations. As soon as Resnick said bill of particulars,
7 all of a sudden it went down to four.

8 But Matt Walker testified that that paper he
9 typed up he believed were violations, and those went back
10 to late May, early June. Now we're sitting here in July,
11 going back to initial appearance, beginning of this
12 proceeding now, July, early July. They're saying, well,
13 this has been going on. This has been going on. Well,
14 if it's been going on, and it was getting worse, and you
15 are the power to intervene and you're supposed to be my
16 guardrail, how did it get to the point where there were
17 so many?

18 And again, I'm not saying I didn't post the
19 video. I'm saying if the video was questionable, why
20 didn't they step in and say, pump the brakes? We would
21 have never gotten to the point of multiple videos,
22 multiple accusations, because they could have intervened
23 after the first one. The first thing that they thought
24 was questionable, or potentially, or in the realm of,
25 they could have said, we need to talk. We're here.

1 We're your guidance counselor. Whatever you want to say.
2 However you want to term it. We're the hand on your
3 shoulder. We need to talk to you for a minute.

4 And they'll even testify that we had
5 communication. I'm right here. I never avoided a tough
6 conversation with them. I agreed to disagree. We agreed
7 to disagree many times when it was back to the beginning,
8 when they're like take down this video, take down this
9 video. Is it deleted, or taken off the internet, or made
10 private? I told them the things I didn't agree with.
11 They told me this is the way it is. I always deferred to
12 their authority. Like, okay, I might not agree with
13 that, but this is because ultimately they're in charge.
14 If they say this is what I've got to do, I'll do it.

15 And that's how it went when I got put on
16 probation. They said do this. I did it. I said, I
17 don't agree with that, but I'm going to do it because I
18 have to because you're my boss. And if I don't agree
19 with it, there is ways to go about that other than saying
20 I'm not going to do that. You're not my boss. I don't
21 have to do that. You can't tell me what to do. No.
22 They even testified, he did everything we asked him.
23 Well, then they disappeared for eight months, 11 months,
24 however you want to, you know. And then I'm not, I'm
25 not, I don't know a better word, I'm not, I'm not, I

1 don't even mean this in the context that traditionally
2 you would use suicidal. I don't know a better word. I'm
3 trying to say that I'm not, even though the State likes
4 to say they've got -- they're entitled to their opinion,
5 I'm not somebody that is just going to say, you know, I'm
6 just going to bang my head off the wall. I'm not going
7 to just, oh, I can't make this video. I'm going to make
8 it anyway and who cares about what happens. I care about
9 my life. I care about the consequences of violating
10 probation. I cared about following your orders. That's
11 why I followed them for 11 months, because it's the
12 rules. You've got to follow them. I have no problem.
13 You issue the conditions, I follow them.

14 I made it clear I didn't agree with them from
15 the first day. At sentencing, I said some of these I
16 don't agree with. You said, all right, there is
17 mechanisms. You can take that to a different court. I
18 didn't go home and say, I don't agree with this. I'm
19 going to keep doing it. Which is 180 degrees from what
20 got us there in the first place. What got us to
21 sentencing.

22 Judge Griffin put an injunction on me, said I
23 can't post videos. I went home and said, fuck your
24 injunction, I'm going to post videos anyway. Posted
25 videos. Your injunction is a violation of my rights. I

1 don't have to follow that. I'm not going to do that.
2 You can't do nothing to me. Right? That's what got us
3 to sentencing in the first place, was somebody trying to
4 put a court order, Judge Griffin saying you can't do
5 that, and me saying, you don't tell me what to do. I'm
6 going to do what I do because I believe I'm on the right
7 side of the law. I don't think your order is valid, so
8 I'm not going to follow it.

9 Contrast that with the orders you gave me. I
10 told you right here in court, I don't think that's valid
11 multiple times. You can't do that. I still did it. I
12 learned from the mistakes of the first time. Hey, you
13 can't just (gesturing) to the court and expect to get
14 away with it, you know. So I said, all right, I'll
15 follow these conditions. I don't agree with them. I'm
16 just going to not post, because I'm not exactly sure
17 exactly what I can and can't post. Probation won't
18 clarify. They tell me if I take it to Court, they're
19 going to -- I'm going to basically get retaliated on by
20 the Court or them because then I'm going to have to make
21 them have to work. If I just sit there silently, they
22 don't have to do no work, so then they won't have to
23 supervise me. If I make their job easy, they'll make my
24 life easy.

25 Well, not everything is easy in life. I have

1 the right to post. I need the guidance. I needed that,
2 I needed those guardrails of them saying from the
3 beginning. Because obviously, I think it's pretty
4 obvious, I didn't think the videos I was making violated
5 probation. Other people don't agree with me. I don't
6 have the final say, right? There's those layers in
7 between.

8 Me saying this video doesn't violate probation
9 doesn't mean it doesn't violate probation. That's for
10 other people. We went over this in those hearings. It's
11 not for me to decide if it violates probation or not.
12 Right? There's ultimately you. Right? But you told me
13 there was going to be guardrails before we got to you.
14 Before I got to sitting in jail, losing everything,
15 sitting here going what did I -- you know. You said, I'm
16 putting something in place between you posting and new
17 charges or violations. It's your probation officer.

18 Okay. So I start posting. Where was the
19 intervention? I've been in jail, this jail now for six
20 months. I haven't seen a single person, and I talk to
21 other people, other inmates, I have not seen a single
22 other inmate, because I'm interested in this, I have not
23 seen another inmate in this entire jail get a petition to
24 revoke filed without what they call an activity report
25 with the probation department. I read everybody's. I've

1 read everybody in the jail that I've been in cells with,
2 in dorms with, how it got to where they were revoked.
3 These people got, again, I'm not saying, you know,
4 because they did it, I can do it. I'm saying that what I
5 -- and before I was on probation, I saw, okay, so you're
6 on probation. When I was considering signing a plea
7 where probation was available, how does probation -- I've
8 never been on probation in my entire life. How does it
9 even work? Oh, okay. You have a probation officer. If
10 you get into that area, then they're going to bring you
11 in and be like, hey, man, slow down, pump the breaks.
12 Okay. Then you reiterated that. You made me feel
13 confident. Okay, I can do this.

14 If there is somebody who is going to say you can
15 do this, you can't do that, it's pretty much black and
16 white. It's black and white. You can do this, you can't
17 do that. I can follow black and white. It's when we get
18 into that gray area of is it protected speech?
19 Unprotected speech? Is it you can rely on probation?
20 You can't? No worries. There is somebody there who is
21 going to be there to guide me. The probation department
22 will just say you can't do this, you can't do that. That
23 never happened.

24 They read abstract, in abstract, yeah, hey, do
25 not post videos. Right? Okay. Anybody can read. I can

1 read. I have a very high reading level and reading
2 comprehension. It's when these words become 3D and
3 living. Now those words come off the paper and when it's
4 brought into real life, you know, applied to real life,
5 whatever, you know. Integrated is the word I was looking
6 for. When it's integrated into your life, words become
7 real like behaviors and actions and things like that.

8 Okay. So here's what I think is a violation by
9 reading the paper, and I feel comfortable knowing that
10 there is somebody who has my best interests, because you
11 told me these people were going to have my best
12 interests. There's somebody with my best interests
13 waiting to make -- not even waiting -- watching my every
14 move to make sure that we didn't get to the point of
15 where we're here at today without an intervention. So I
16 saw all these people on probation get, hey, come meet
17 with me. Hey, you failed dirty. I don't do drugs, but
18 I'm just using that as an example. You dropped dirty or
19 you did this. Talk about dangerous. I just read one
20 where the guy's on the GPS on his ankle and he's going to
21 the victim's house. He got reinstated. State didn't
22 argue for prison. Probation didn't violate him. They
23 brought him in and told him you can't do that. It wasn't
24 until he did nine other things before they actually filed
25 a petition to revoke.

1 So I'm thinking I don't believe these videos are
2 a violation, but I know that if they are, I'm sure
3 probation will tell me. So I start making videos. Okay.
4 That was early, or late May, early June. Well, because I
5 filed the motion to modify and put a PO box on there,
6 because unlike Shannon Walker, people have come to my
7 house and thrown rocks through the window. Unlike
8 Shannon Walker, I've got actual death threats. Unlike
9 Shannon Walker, people have said I should be put through
10 a wood chipper and all this. Actual threats. Unlike
11 Shannon Walker, those things actually happened. They're
12 not hypothetical. People actually came and threw rocks
13 through our window. People actually threatened to do
14 that again. People actually contacted my wife's work.
15 People sent her job a letter saying that I raped Shannon
16 Walker, that I sexually abused Shannon Walker, which is
17 all not true. Even Shannon Walker would say that's not
18 true.

19 I deal with it, too. But you know what I do?
20 Ignore it or compartmentalize it and what it is. It's
21 people are expressing. Hey now, coming to my house and
22 throwing rocks through my window, that's taking it a
23 little too far. Obviously, that's a crime. Speech.
24 Okay, well I talk a lot of shit on the internet too,
25 right? So there is going to be people that talk nonsense

1 too, right? That's what you do. They say some nonsense.
2 I say that's not true. They say oh -- you saw the
3 hearing. There's other channels. I could ignore them.

4 But wait. Wouldn't that be the same argument
5 Shannon Walker makes? Why should I have to put my head
6 in the sand? Why should I have to ignore all these
7 people making up lies on the internet? Why should I have
8 to ignore and put my head in the sand and accommodate all
9 these people harassing me and my wife? I don't have to
10 accommodate it just like she claims she doesn't have to.

11 So the difference is, is I'm not married to a
12 prosecutor. I'm not buddies with all the judges. I'm
13 not saying she's buddies with you. I'm not accusing you
14 of that, Metcalf, Your Honor, Judge Metcalf. I'm saying
15 that it's the law school. To quote Shannon Walker, it's
16 a small legal community, you know. And yes, I'm mocking
17 her when she said that.

18 So we all know what that means. She works at
19 the law school. A lot of the judges graduated from
20 there. Laura Conover graduated from there. Rachel
21 didn't graduate from there, but her boss did. Her
22 husband graduated from there. They're prosecutors. They
23 have the power of the state. I don't. I make police
24 reports, nothing happened. She's makes police reports,
25 the world comes crashing down on me.

1 So the difference was I don't have the -- I
2 thought, well, what can I do? What can Lane Myers do?
3 Just use more speech. I could just say, hey, the things
4 they're accusing me of, they're not true. That's not
5 what actually happened. That's not what I pled guilty
6 to. That's not what I was charged with. That's not what
7 the police report says. If you listen to Shannon Walker
8 in court, she says that I accuse her of lying. Well, I
9 mean, when you have a recording of a court hearing, and
10 she is in court under oath saying one thing, and then you
11 go to the police report and it says something else, and
12 then you go to the grand jury and it says something else,
13 and then you go to my video and you see that, so if she
14 says one thing and the video says something else, that's
15 a lie. That's how that works. If you accuse somebody
16 of, if you make a claim and it's not true, that's called
17 lying. So yes, she lied multiple times. She lied in her
18 little speech 20 minutes ago, but it doesn't matter
19 because it's not under oath.

20 But she claims that she brings a lot of this --
21 I'm not diminishing what happened before I was on
22 probation, but I'm saying since I've been on probation,
23 it's not what they're accusing me of now. She just said
24 all that. That happened before I was on probation. They
25 just cannot stick to the facts of what happened when I

1 was on probation because their whole story doesn't sound,
2 it doesn't sound as traumatic. I'm not saying she's not
3 entitled to have feelings. She's certainly entitled to
4 feelings and having opinions, but they have to lay it on
5 thick for you. Oh, yeah, and her dad and all that. We
6 already heard that story, and I feel sorry that it was
7 happening to her. I wouldn't wish that on nobody, and I
8 didn't cause that.

9 But that didn't happen since I've been on
10 probation. Today, we're here for probation revocation.
11 What they want to use is the stuff that happened before I
12 was on probation as if that's the stuff that I've been
13 doing since I've been on probation. They're failing to
14 recognize that I went from posting her email address on
15 the screen in front of us to vaguely referencing my court
16 case. That's a big change. If that is not enough of a
17 change to comply with probation, well then that's when
18 probation steps in and says I understand you're trying to
19 do it differently this time, but you're still a little
20 rough around the edges. We've got to smooth that up,
21 you're headed towards the guardrail, and that never
22 happened.

23 So this whole guardrail thing, and they think,
24 oh, send him to prison. Well first of all, 80 percent of
25 the people that go to prison go back. That's a failed

1 system. Nobody gets rehabilitated in prison. They just
2 lose all their stuff. They just lose their connection
3 and their stability with the real world. They lose touch
4 with daily life.

5 If prison was such a good thing, why are eight
6 out of ten people who go to prison failing when they get
7 out? So she's advocating for me to become eight out of
8 ten. I know that if you send me to prison, it is what it
9 is. It's not going to make me some dangerous person or
10 whatever they want to say, or some -- I'm not going to
11 become institutionalized. But that doesn't diminish the
12 fact that hanging out with criminals all day, people who
13 advocate for violence, I don't advocate for violence,
14 people who have solved -- who get mad and solve their --
15 their outlet is violence. That's why they're in there.
16 Or, you know, I'm not going to get face tattoos or
17 whatever. But what it is, is it destabilizes your base.
18 If destabilizing somebody's base was such a good idea,
19 the recidivism rate wouldn't be 80 percent, because you
20 would go to prison and you'd come out, everything would
21 be great again. You go to prison. You get out. All of
22 a sudden, this person who was a danger is now a great
23 person. Don't have nothing to worry about. Their life
24 is great. They hit the ground running. Well if that was
25 true, then 80 percent of people wouldn't fail. So

1 advocating for a failed system --

2 THE COURT: Mr. Myers, hold on, you've been
3 talking for a half hour. I want you to wrap up in the
4 next couple minutes.

5 THE DEFENDANT: All right. No worries. So they
6 might think that -- they even said, get me away from
7 society. I'm not going to be away from society. I'm
8 going to be on the phone. I'm going to be writing
9 letters. And they can manipulate my words all they want,
10 but if I go to prison, I will be calling people that are
11 on my -- right now I'm not allowed to call, because I'm
12 allowed to. Because prison gets to decide who I talk to.
13 Not the State. Not the probation department. And yes,
14 I'm allowed to do interviews from prison. I won't be on
15 probation. You can't stop me as long as I'm not
16 violating the law. So I don't think that's -- I mean,
17 that seems counter-productive, taking away probation.
18 All those conditions that say I can't make videos and I
19 can't talk to certain people. Because I'm telling you
20 right now, if you order me to prison, Josh Gray will be
21 the first call I make when I get back to jail. That's
22 not a threat to you. That's not a demand or whatever,
23 however you want to call it, ultimatum or any of that.
24 That's just a stark reality. You take away that contact
25 condition and I'm going to be able to talk to my friends

1 again. Because despite what they say, these people are
2 more than just YouTubers. These people support their
3 friends. We talk about our families. We support each
4 other.

5 Now, they might not agree with our YouTube
6 postings, but there's a lot more to it. Again, these
7 people don't know me. They're just out here slinging
8 accusations to make them sound good for the court. They
9 don't know me. But to me, since you told me probation
10 was going to be guardrails, and it wasn't, and like you
11 said, well, I don't understand why they're saying I
12 should go back on probation if I did such a terrible job.

13 So, to me, I would just say just if you're going
14 to destabilize my base, you're going to cause, you know,
15 my physical, you know, my, quote, unquote, stuff, my
16 belongings, my dog, you know, my relationships and stuff
17 to just be severed, well then when I get out of prison, I
18 need a clean start. Not to be stuck in the same place.
19 A clean start would be leaving this area. And the only
20 thing keeping me here right now is because I'm legally
21 obligated to be here.

22 And so my parents live in Detroit. So they
23 can't -- I couldn't go live with them or anything like
24 that because I'll be stuck here. And so, to me, just if
25 we're going to do the presumptive sentence, just run them

1 concurrent, both counts, presumptive. I get out of
2 prison, we both move on with our lives. But just know
3 that I have the right -- so they keep saying, oh, he says
4 he's never, ever going to stop. I didn't say I was never
5 going to stop talking about -- I stopped talking about
6 Shannon Walker a long time ago, despite their
7 assertions, or your saying (inaudible) blah, blah. We
8 don't need to nitpick right now. But when are they going
9 to move on?

10 So if they are not going to move on, if people
11 like Judy back here are going to contact them when I make
12 a video about going to the Grand Canyon with my mom on
13 Mother's Day, when is it ever going to end? What am I
14 going to do? Disappear from society? I get out of
15 prison, I'm on probation. I get to make videos. What's
16 going to stop me from making a video talking about my
17 case again?

18 They want me put in a room with a thing in my
19 mouth. They want me on some type of, you know, black
20 hole of society. They need to understand that I'm
21 allowed to talk about my case and I'm going to talk about
22 it. Now, I didn't say I'm going to talk about Shannon
23 Walker, but according to your findings, talking about my
24 case without talking about Shannon Walker is talking
25 about Shannon Walker. So it sounds like you either got

1 to give me the death penalty, or at some point I'm going
2 to be talking about my case again, which, by their
3 contention, is talking -- what am I supposed to do? Go
4 the rest of my life and never speak on this again?
5 That's absurd. First of all, that's not how non-violent
6 people like me handle things. I get it off my chest. I
7 speak about it. I don't do violent acts. I don't get
8 mad and punch the wall. You know what I mean? So to me
9 --

10 THE COURT: Mr. Myers --

11 THE DEFENDANT: I know what you're saying. Like
12 I said, if you, which I don't agree with prison, but if
13 you do, just do both counts concurrent presumptive, and
14 when I get out, then my guardrails will be law
15 enforcement, you know, the law. You know what I'm trying
16 to say? Instead of like my probation conditions, it will
17 just be you committed a crime or you didn't. Or to me, I
18 think I can do probation. I was on unsupervised
19 probation. I don't know if that's the technical word. I
20 was on the low -- I didn't talk to my probation officer
21 for 11 months. I wasn't out there committing crimes. I
22 wasn't out there doing things. I don't do drugs. They
23 say I committed crimes. I didn't commit any crimes while
24 I was on probation. I don't use drugs. I don't have
25 weapons. I have a stable life. But obviously this is

1 going to destabilize it. It has.

2 And so, to me, let's just -- I don't see how
3 keeping this going for years and years and years -- now,
4 I'm not saying that you don't have that authority. I did
5 put myself in that position. I'm saying, though, they
6 want it to be over, but they want it to keep going. So I
7 don't understand the juxtaposition here of we want it
8 over, we want it over, we want him quiet, send him to
9 prison. If I go to prison, I'm going to talk about my
10 case every day. That's my right. There is no law that
11 says you can't talk about your case. There is probation
12 conditions that say that.

13 And so, to me, like I said, personally, I think
14 I should get put back on probation. And I'm allowed to
15 go back to living where I was living. Our relationship
16 might look different, but she's not kicking me to the
17 street. You know, my parents, again, they live in
18 Detroit, Michigan. That's 2,000 miles away. If they
19 were closer, they could do more for me. And if I -- the
20 longer I'm on probation, the longer I'm stuck here not
21 close to -- my sister lives in Arkansas, my parents live
22 in Detroit. They're old, right? Not that I need to go
23 live with Mommy and Daddy. I can support myself. I have
24 a business here. I have work. I have a place to go. If
25 you send me to prison, I don't have that anymore. And

1 then you want me to get out, I'm not saying you, I'm
2 saying they want me to then get out with nothing, a pot
3 to piss in, a window to throw it out of, no money, no
4 nothing, all my relationships severed, and then start
5 following all these probation, oh, you've got to have
6 this and that, knowing that 80 percent of people fail
7 that. So, to me, I think that I have proven I can -- we
8 might be here because you found I violated, right? But
9 I've proven that I can follow probation. You told me
10 these are the -- and I believed I was following them. I
11 believed if I wasn't, I would get, you know, hey, you're
12 not following it. I never got that. So that's -- if you
13 give me probation, I'll follow it.

14 THE COURT: All right.

15 THE DEFENDANT: If you send me to prison, I
16 would ask for the consec -- I mean, not consecutive.

17 THE COURT: Concurrent.

18 THE DEFENDANT: Concurrent. And you told me at
19 the last hearing to be quiet. Right? You said, leave
20 this hearing, understand. Right? And I did. I did. I
21 followed your order. I don't know if even that was an
22 order. It might have been a suggestion.

23 THE COURT: It was a suggestion.

24 THE DEFENDANT: It was a suggestion. Right? So
25 it wasn't even like a court order. It was a suggestion.

1 But I'm not -- I have a light bulb that works pretty
2 good. When you suggested that, that resonated with me.
3 Okay. Hey, he's not ordering me, because you didn't say
4 you can't talk about your case. You said, I suggest,
5 right? And then you left it up to me to determine how I
6 took that to heart and applied that to balancing; you can
7 talk about your case, but there will be consequences, and
8 do I stare those in the face and just disregard your
9 suggestion? I didn't.

10 THE COURT: Thank you.

11 THE DEFENDANT: I can do probation. I
12 understand --

13 THE COURT: Let's wrap up.

14 THE DEFENDANT: -- that they might not think
15 that. Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Myers, these cases started with
17 your conduct at a Tucson City Counsel meeting and a
18 dispute at O'Reilly Auto Parts. The City filed charges
19 against you resulting from those events. Matthew Walker
20 was the assigned city prosecutor for those cases, and you
21 retaliated against him by attacking his wife, who happens
22 to work at the U of A, has no relationship to you
23 whatsoever. So these are both harassment cases, and
24 you're also throwing a monkey wrench into the wheels of
25 justice. That's why this is such a serious case. It's

1 serious just because of the harassment, but it's a more
2 serious case because of you did it for the purpose of
3 trying to intimidate those who were trying to prosecute
4 this case.

5 The first case, 20251060, is the harassment
6 charge, and that stretched from September of 2024 through
7 February 2025. And then during that time, the victim in
8 this case, Ms. Walker, obtained an injunction of
9 harassment against you. You took that to Court. The
10 Court upheld that. So you were put on notice then that
11 your conduct was a violation of the harassment statute.
12 You then violated that injunction against harassment in
13 March of 2025 in the other case, 20251454.

14 Eventually, you pled guilty to both cases. I
15 accepted your plea of guilty because there was a factual
16 basis to support the charges in each case. I placed you
17 on three years probation, supervised, concurrent. You
18 were able to do well from the time of sentencing in July
19 of last year up through June of this year, but then
20 things changed, and you started violating probation.

21 What I've heard you say today is that it's
22 probation's fault that they didn't give you better advice
23 about what to do. The problem with that again, for two
24 reasons, first of all, probation has a high caseload.
25 They can't take all their time on your case. And it

1 would be impossible for them to try to guide you as to
2 what is proper and not proper, because whatever they
3 would put down as a rule, you would try to come up and
4 violate it, because you always are pushing back against
5 those rules which are designed to protect the victim in
6 this case. So I understand probation's position that
7 they could not advise you about how to follow the
8 probation terms because it would be impossible for them
9 to do so.

10 I found after the court hearing that you did
11 violate your conditions of probation, and by your
12 conduct, you have shown that you cannot abide by
13 conditions of probation. The probation addendum report
14 agrees to that, and recommends that you are no longer a
15 person that can abide by probation. Most importantly, it
16 was because of what we heard at the revocation hearing.
17 If I continue you on probation, that would tie up the
18 court system. We would have another hearing like this
19 six months from now. I just don't think that you are a
20 person that can do probation. So accordingly, I'm
21 revoking your probation as to both cases.

22 As for the length of prison sentence and whether
23 they go concurrent or consecutive, again, I have reviewed
24 the presentence report, the addendum report, the victim's
25 letter, her statement today, the letters in support in

1 the original sentencing hearing, Defendant's letters from
2 his mother, stepfather, and sister. A lot of those
3 letters have some very important information in them,
4 which also goes into my recommendation.

5 The probation addendum report says, "Regarding
6 disposition, the Defendant has demonstrated a continued
7 inability to comply with court-ordered conditions. He
8 appears to be an inappropriate candidate for continued
9 community supervision, and a heightened level of
10 accountability may be warranted."

11 The victim, in her statement, indicated that you
12 repeatedly focused your attention on her minor children
13 and sought information about them; you attempted to
14 obtain unserved injunctions containing identifying
15 information about my children; publicly displayed a
16 photograph of her family, including her minor children;
17 created and wore a T-shirt with them on it in a video
18 that you made after you were indicted on the first set of
19 charges; you sought information about her children and
20 ultimately displayed them publicly on your YouTube
21 channel, knowing that a person already stalked and
22 harassed her; you were actively attempting to obtain
23 information concerning her minor children, causing her
24 substantial fear and emotional distress.

25 People in her life, including prosecutors,

1 police officers, and victim advocates were subject to
2 attacks and harassment after becoming involved. People
3 who worked at the U of A had written to say, one employee
4 at the U of A Law School said that he had witnessed you
5 create harassing content about student bystanders that
6 have no official role or public duties. These students
7 were simply present during his confrontations, yet he
8 chose to feature them in his videos for no reason other
9 than apparent enjoyment of harassment. This reveals the
10 true nature of his conduct would be to derive pleasure
11 from intimidating and humiliating others regardless of
12 their status or involvement. He actively encourages his
13 followers to continue to harass beyond the initial
14 encounter, to create a mob mentality that extends
15 intimidation and threats to his victims' personal lives.
16 He appears to derive satisfaction creating distressing
17 situations for others, particularly targeting individuals
18 who are simply trying to perform their duties or go about
19 their daily activities on campus.

20 Another employee at the U of A said after the
21 incident, this is the original incident, we instituted
22 new protocols to protect ourselves. We requested and
23 installed locks on our office doors, attempted a strict
24 no work alone policy, and installed panic buttons. These
25 were not precautionary measures taken lightly. They were

1 necessary responses to a real and ongoing threat.

2 Another person employed at the University of
3 Arizona College of Law said what was once an open-door
4 space welcoming to all members of Southern Arizona has
5 since become a space that is only accessible through key
6 cards due to Lane Myers' constant threats. He has made
7 the space a skeleton of what it once was. His behavior
8 has directly impacted this person's ability to do their
9 job. This employee needs to welcome guests into the
10 building for tours, appointments, and admitted students
11 visits. Because of the new locked-door policy due to
12 Mr. Myers' constant threats, the office of this employee
13 has had to reimagine our visit policy. What was once a
14 welcoming space is not. Prospective students' first
15 impression of our law school is now locked doors. This
16 impacts our bottom line. Who is going to choose a law
17 school where safety is an issue due to constant threats
18 from a community member?

19 Another person along the same lines said his
20 actions forced the law school to alter its security
21 policies, restricting access across the campus with key
22 cards due to safety concerns. This was a deeply
23 unfortunate but necessary measure taken after Lane Myers
24 live-streamed from campus, prompting one of his followers
25 to call Shannon's work phone with rape threats.

1 It's also affected the Tucson City Prosecutor's
2 Office. They've had to take drastic measures to address
3 fallout from Mr. Myers.

4 His conduct, according to another employee, he
5 was attempting to locate Ms. Walker by asking students
6 where she was and yelling out for her during an event.
7 This is a high-profile event, and to say that I was on
8 edge, meaning the letter-writer, was an understatement.
9 This letter-writer felt fear for college guests and
10 herself. Thankfully, we had security on-site and they
11 were able to identify Mr. Myers, but that did not help
12 ease the fear of when he would return. He claims on his
13 video streams that he's not dangerous, but his actions
14 were frightening and unpredictable. He knew exactly what
15 he was doing and continued to escalate and cause fear.

16 So this, to me, is a very serious case for many
17 reasons. Not only for the rule of law, for the Walkers
18 individually, but the U of A Law School, and the Tucson
19 City Prosecutor's Office.

20 The Court, in determining an appropriate
21 sentence, weighs aggravating and mitigating factors. The
22 original mitigating factor was the Defendant's plea of
23 guilty. But by his actions while on probation, it seems
24 to me that he has not accepted responsibility and
25 continues to engage in the behavior he has previously, so

1 I don't find that there is a mitigating factor here.

2 As for aggravating factors, the emotional harm
3 to the victims, the victim, which has been said at length
4 in this case by a very articulate victim; there is the
5 catchall of D(27); there is the harm to the U of A Law
6 School, they've had to have a closed-door policy with
7 locks and key cards to get in because of Mr. Myers'
8 behavior; there is also his interference with the justice
9 system by attacking a prosecutor's spouse, when the
10 prosecutor was simply doing his job of pursuing charges
11 in a court of law.

12 I also note the Defendant has a lengthy criminal
13 history, having served time in prison for two felonies,
14 convicted of other felonies at a later time, for a total
15 of four prior felonies, as well as two DUI cases, where
16 he was given fairly lengthy jail sentences.

17 So the Court will impose the following
18 assessment, excuse me, following sentence.

19 Again, I don't think that Mr. Myers is eligible
20 for probation, so I'm going to impose a prison sentence
21 in both cases.

22 In the first case, CR20251060, the Court will go
23 up above the presumptive slightly to 1.75 years in the
24 Department of Corrections. He has 192 days jail credit
25 against that sentence.

1 As to the second case, CR20251454, the Court
2 will go up slightly from the presumptive to 1.25 years in
3 the Arizona Department of Corrections. I'm going to run
4 this consecutive because this behavior was engaged in
5 after he got an injunction against harassment against
6 him, knowing that the conduct was prohibited, and he
7 violated anyway. It's a very serious charge to violate a
8 court order in that situation, and I believe a
9 consecutive sentence is warranted because of that.

10 Because it's a consecutive sentence, the
11 Defendant is not entitled jail credit as to that second
12 sentence.

13 I'll remind the Defendant that the victim in
14 this case has a lifetime No Contact Order against him,
15 which, if he violates that, that could be a new criminal
16 violation.

17 I'm going to affirm all fines and fees
18 previously imposed.

19 Impose community supervision upon his release
20 from the Department of Corrections.

21 You do have 20 days to file a Notice of Appeal
22 with the Arizona Court of Appeals by filing a Notice of
23 Appeal with the superior court. If you cannot afford
24 counsel, counsel will be appointed for you to pursue your
25 appeal.

1 If you complete probation and receive an
2 absolute discharge from prison for these offenses, and if
3 you've not been previously convicted a felony, and paid
4 restitution, your civil rights will automatically be
5 restored.

6 If you've been previously convicted of a felony
7 in this or another state, or have not paid all ordered
8 restitution, you can still apply to have your civil
9 rights restored. This does not apply to the restoration
10 of firearm rights if you're convicted of a dangerous
11 offense or serious offense.

12 You do have the right to file a Petition to Set
13 Aside Judgment upon completion of your sentence, and you
14 have the right under 13-911 to petition the court for an
15 order sealing your case records after you have completed
16 your sentence.

17 Anything else, counsel?

18 MS. STILES: No, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: With that, we are adjourned and you
20 are excused. Have a good day.

21 (Pceedings adjourned.)

22 THE COURT: Let's go back on the record. I will
23 note the presence of the Defendant and counsel. I forgot
24 to mention the motion to modify, the Motion to Clarify
25 Conditions of Probation that the Defendant had filed on

1 August 11. I'm going to deny that on mootness grounds
2 because I have revoked his probation.

3 With that, we are off the record.

4

5 (Proceedings adjourned.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF ARIZONA)
)
COUNTY OF PIMA) SS

I, Elizabeth Lumia, Certified Reporter, holding
a valid and current license issued by the State of
Arizona, Certificate No. 50392, do hereby certify that I
was present at the foregoing entitled proceeding; that
while there, said proceeding was reported in shorthand
and thereafter reduced to print by me; that the
transcript is a true, accurate, and complete record of
the proceeding held.

/s/ Elizabeth Lumia
Elizabeth Lumia, RMR
Arizona Certified Reporter No. 50392