	1 2 3	R. Paul Katrinak, State Bar No. 164057 LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK 9663 Santa Monica Blvd., 458 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: (310) 990-4348 Facsimile: (310) 921-5398		Electronically FILED by Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles 1/31/2025 5:50 PM David W. Slayton, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court, By S. Gardner, Deputy Clerk				
	5	Attorneys for Defendant Michael Pierattini						
	6 7	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF T	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
	8	IN AND FOR THE COUN'	ΤY	Y OF LOS ANGELES				
	9	JOSE DECASTRO,	)	Case No. 23SMCV00538				
	11	Plaintiff,	)	Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable H. Jay Ford, Dept. O				
ATRINAK , 458 90210 348 398	12	v.	)	SEPARATE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT MICHAEL				
R. PAUL KA onica Blvd., California 5 (310) 990-43	13 14	ATHERINE PETER; DANIEL CLEMENT; IICHAEL PIERATTINI; DAVID OMO JR.; and DOES 1 TO 30, inclusive,	)	PIERATTINI'S MOTION FOR TERMINATING SANCTIONS CONCERNING DEFENDANT MICHAEL				
OFFICES OF 9963 Santa M everly Hills, Telephone: Facsimile:	15	Defendants.	) )	PIERATTINI'S FORM INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF JOSE DECASTRO, SET ONE				
LAW C	16			GONZ ZEGIZINO, NET GIVE				
	17 18			Date: February 21, 2025 Time: 8:30 A.M. Dept: O				
	19			1				
	20							
	21							
	22							
	<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>							
	25							
	26							
	27							
	28							

Defendant, Michail Pierattini, hereby submits this Separate Statement in support of its Motion for Terminating Sanctions concerning Form Interrogatories, Set One.

#### I. SUMMARY OF THE DISCOVERY AT ISSUE

These are simple, basic from interrogatories. The idea behind these form interrogatories is to allow Plaintiff to be ready for trial and narrow the issues. They are <u>essential</u> for trial preparation. Plaintiff only responded to Form Interrogatories 2.1, 2.8, and 2.9 four out of the 46 total Form Interrogatories served in December 2023, over a year ago. This evidences a complete contempt of the Court's Order and California law. Enough is enough. Terminating Sanctions are plainly appropriate

# II. DEFENDANT'S COURT ORDERED ANSWERS TO FORM INTERROGATORIES ARE GROSSLY DEFICIENT UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.1:**

State: (a) your name; (b) every name you have used in the past; and (c) the dates you used each name.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.1:

Jose DeCastro. Chille.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY 2.2

State the date and place of your birth.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.2:**

California

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. Plaintiff did not provide his date of birth. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed. **FORM INTERROGATORY 2.3** At the time of the **INCIDENT**, did you have a driver's license? If so, state: (a) the state or other issuing entity; (b) the license number and type; (c) the date of issuance; and (d) all restrictions. **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.3:** n/a WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.3 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE **COURT'S ORDER** Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as complete and straightforward as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup. Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504. There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. Plaintiff's driver's license information was ordered by the Court. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the

///

dismissed.

Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be

1	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.4
2	At the time of the INCIDENT, did you have any other
3	permit or license for the operation of a motor vehicle? If so,
4	state:
<ul><li>5</li><li>6</li></ul>	<ul><li>(a) the state or other issuing entity;</li><li>(b) the license number and type;</li><li>(c) the date of issuance; and</li><li>(d) all restrictions.</li></ul>
7	COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.4:
8	n/a
9	WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.4 IS IN VOILATION OF THE
10	COURT'S ORDER
11	Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as <i>complete</i> and <i>straightforward</i> as
12	the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a)
13	and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from
14	sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can
15	be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783
16	(1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.
17	There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by
18	the Court. N/A is not an answer. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and
19	should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of
20	Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating
21	Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.5**

State:

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- (a) your present residence ADDRESS;
- (b) your residence  $\boldsymbol{ADDRESSES}$  for the past five years;

and

(c) the dates you lived at each **ADDRESS**.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.5:**

1258 Franklin, Santa Monica, CA 90404

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.5 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court and provide the information requested. Plaintiff professes to live in Nevada and provided a Nevada address when ordered by the Court. Plaintiff plainly does not live at this address and Plaintiff failed to provide his address information. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.6**

#### State:

(a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of your present employer or place of self-employment; and (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, dates of employment, job title, and nature of work for each employer or self-employment you have had from five years before the **INCIDENT** until today.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.6:**

No. It's irrelevant. "The Incident" is why Michael Pierattini got himself sued.

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.6 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. The Court ordered a response. Plaintiff provided no information and claims this interrogatory is "irrelevant." This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.7

State:

- (a) the name and **ADDRESS** of each school or other academic or vocational institution you have attended, beginning with high school;
- (b) the dates you attended;
- (c) the highest grade level you have completed; and
- (d) the degrees received.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.7:**

No.

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.7 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles)* (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. No is not an answer to this interrogatory. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

26

27

28

FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.8:
Have you ever been convicted of a felony? If so, for

Have you ever been convicted of a felony? If so, for each conviction state: (a) the city and state where you were convicted; (b) the date of conviction; (c) the offense; and (d) the court and case number.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.8:

No, I have not.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.9:**

Can you speak English with ease? If not, what language and dialect do you normally use?

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.9:

Yup, English.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.10:**

Can you read and write English with ease? If not, what language and dialect do you normally use?

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.10:**

English is a good language.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.11

At the time of the **INCIDENT** were you acting as an

19 | agent or employee for any **PERSON?** If so, state:

- (a) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of that
- PERSON; and
- (b) a description of your duties.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.11:

n/a

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.11 IS IN VIOLATION OF

#### 25 THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK 9963 Santa Monica Blvd., 458 Beverly Hills, California 90210	Telephone: (310) 990-4348 Facsimile: (310) 921-5398
--	--

be obtained from	sources under hi	is control."	Deyo v.	Kilbourne,	84 Cal.	App.	3d 7	71, 78	33
(1978); Regency	Health Services,	Inc. v. Sup	.Ct. (Set	tles) (1998)	64 Cal.	App.	4th	1496,	1504

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.12

At the time of the **INCIDENT** did you or any other person have any physical, emotional, or mental disability or condition that may have contributed to the occurrence of the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each person state:

- (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number;
- (b) the nature of the disability or condition; and
- (c) the manner in which the disability or condition contributed to the occurrence of the **INCIDENT.**

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 2.12:**

No. I won't put my friends and family "out" on a public document.

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2.12 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

As stated in the Motion, each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504. Defendants' answers are <u>not</u> straightforward but are convoluted and combined and do not provide the information requested in the Interrogatory.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. There is a Protective Order in place. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an

investigation of Plaintiff.	It is outrageous that	t Plaintiff simply ignored	the Court's Order
$\mathcal{C}$	$\mathcal{U}$	1 2 0	

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:**

At the time of the INCIDENT, was there in effect any policy of insurance through which you were or might be insured in any manner (for example, primary, pro-rata, or excess liability coverage or medical expense coverage) for the damages, claims, or actions that have arisen out of the INCIDENT? If so, for each policy state:

- (a) the kind of coverage;
- (b) the name and ADDRESS of the insurance company;
- (c) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each named insured;
- (d) the policy number;
- (e) the limits of coverage for each type of coverage contained in the policy;
- (f) whether any reservation of rights or controversy or coverage dispute exists between you and the insurance company; and
  - (g) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of the custodian of the policy.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1:**

n/a

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 4.2:**

Are you self-insured under any statute for the damages, claims, or actions that have arisen out of the INCIDENT? If so, specify the statute.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 4.2:**

n/a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

#### COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.1:

Do you attribute any physical, mental, or emotional injuries to the **INCIDENT?** (*If* your answer is "no," do not answer interrogatories 6.2 through 6.7).

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.1**

n/a

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

#### 24 COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK	9963 Santa Monica Blvd., 458	Beverly Hills, California 90210	Telephone: (310) 990-4348	Facsimile: (310) 921-5398
---------------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------

be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. As	pp. 3d 771, 783
(1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. A	pp. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.2:**

Identify each injury you attribute to the **INCIDENT** and the area of your body affected.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.2:**

n/a

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.3:

Do you still have any complaints that you attribute to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each complaint state: (a) a description; (b) whether the complaint is subsiding, remaining the same, or becoming worse; and (c) the frequency and duration.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.3:**

n/a

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.3 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.4:**

Did you receive any consultation or examination (except from expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure sections 2034.210–2034.310) or treatment from a **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER** for any injury you attribute to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER** state: (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number; (b) the type of consultation, examination, or treatment provided; (c) the dates you received consultation, examination, or treatment; and (d) the charges to date.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.4:

n/a

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.4 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.5:

Have you taken any medication, prescribed or not, as a result of injuries that you attribute to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each medication state: (a) the name; (b) the **PERSON** who prescribed or furnished it; (c) the date it was prescribed or furnished; (d) the dates you began and stopped taking it; and (e) the cost to date.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.5:**

Hippa

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.5 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. HIPPA is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.6:

Are there any other medical services necessitated by the injuries that you attribute to the **INCIDENT** that were not previously listed (for example, ambulance, nursing, prosthetics)? If so, for each service state: (a) the nature; (b) the date; (c) the cost; and (d) the name,

**ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each provider.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.6:

n/a

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.6 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

#### COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.7:**

Has any **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER** advised that you may require future or additional treatment for any injuries that you attribute to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each injury state: (a) the name and **ADDRESS** of each **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER**; (b) the complaints for which the treatment was advised; and (c) the nature, duration, and estimated cost of the treatment.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 6.7:**

n/a

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6.7 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

#### **COURT'S ORDER**

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup. Ct.* (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.1:**

Do you attribute any loss of or damage to a vehicle or other property to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each item of property: (a) describe the property; (b) describe the nature and location of the damage to the property; (c) state the amount of damage you are claiming for each item of property and how the amount was calculated; and (d) if the property was sold, state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the seller, the date of the sale, and the sale price.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.1:**

n/a

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order.

Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

///

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.2:**

Has a written estimate or evaluation been made for any item of property referred to in you answer to the preceding interrogatory? If so, for each estimate or evaluation state: (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the **PERSON** who prepared it and the date prepared; (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has a copy of it; and (c) the amount of damage stated.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 7.2:**

n/a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE

#### **COURT'S ORDER**

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 9.1:**

Are there any other damages that you attribute to the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each item of damage state: (a) the nature; (b) the date it occurred; (c) the amount; and (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** to whom an obligation was incurred.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 9.1:

No, I won't put other's information out for "The Troll Mafia"

27 ///

28 //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

27

28

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is a nonsense response and provides no information. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 9.2:**

Do any **DOCUMENTS** support the existence or amount of any item of damages claimed in interrogatory 9.1? If so, describe each document and state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the **PERSON** who has each **DOCUMENT**.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 9.2:**

n/a

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a)

and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from

sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783

(1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup. Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and

///

should be responded to. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.1:**

Except for this action, in the past 10 years have you filed an action or made a written claim or demand for compensation for your personal injuries? If so, for each action, claim, or demand state: (a) the date, time, and place and location (closest street **ADDRESS** or intersection) of the **INCIDENT** giving rise to the action, claim, or demand; (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** against whom the claim or demand was made or the action filed; (c) the court, names of the parties, and case number of any action filed; (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of any attorney representing you; (e) whether the claim or action has been resolved or is pending; and (f) a description of the injury.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.1:**

I have not received any compensation for any personal injury in the past 10 years

WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF

THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles)* (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. Whether Plaintiff received compensation is irrelevant. The question is whether Plaintiff filed any other actions. This is a non-response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.2:**

In the past 10 years have you made a written claim or demand for workers' compensation benefits? If so, for each claim or demand state: (a) the date, time, and place of the **INCIDENT** giving rise to the claim; (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of your employer at the time of the injury; (c) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the workers' compensation insurer and the claim number; (d) the period of time during which you received workers' compensation benefits; (e) a description of the injury; (f) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of any **HEALTH CARE PROVIDER** who provided services; and (g) the case number at the Workers' Compensation Appeals Board.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 11.2:**

No. I won't release any information about anybody I work with, friends or family members. My associates and friends have submitted letters that I'll submit to the court.

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 11.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is a non-response and evidences contempt of the Court's order. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

27 ///

28 ///

2	State the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each individual:
3	(a) who witnessed the <b>INCIDENT</b> or the events occurring
4	immediately before or after the <b>INCIDENT</b> ; (b) who made any statement at the scene of the <b>INCIDENT</b> ;
5	(c) who heard any statements made about the <b>INCIDENT</b>
6	by any individual at the scene; and (d) who YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF
7	claim has knowledge of the <b>INCIDENT</b> (except for
8	expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure section 2034).
9	COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.1:
10	Four People have submitted statements.
11	WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF
12	THE COURT'S ORDER
13	Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as complete and straightforward as
14	the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a)
15	and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from
16	sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can
17	be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783
18	(1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.
19	There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by
20	the Court. This is a non-response and does not identify the witnesses. This interrogatory is a
21	Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to
22	conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's
23	Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.
24	FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2
25	Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF interviewed any
26	individual concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each individual state:
27	(a) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the individual interviewed;
28	(b) the date of the interview; and
•	(c) the name, <b>ADDRESS</b> , and telephone number of the <b>PERSON</b> who conducted the interview. 20

**FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.1** 

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2:**

No. I won't be putting my mom, sisters, family or friends here.

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is a non-response and in violation of the Court's Order. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3**

Have **YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF** obtained a written or recorded statement from any individual concerning the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each statement state:

- (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the individual from whom the statement was obtained;
- (b) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the individual who obtained the statement;
- (c) the date the statement was obtained; and
- (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each
- **PERSON** who has the original statement or a copy.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3:**

I'm not sure what "Incident" this is about. There have been several things that happened during the stalking & harassing. The defamation & right of publicity

///

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.3 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is an absurd "response." This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4**

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any photographs, films, or videotapes depicting any place, object, or individual concerning the INCIDENT or plaintiff's injuries? If so, state:

- (a) the number of photographs or feet of film or videotape;
- (b) the places, objects, or persons photographed, filmed, or videotaped;
- (c) the date the photographs, films, or videotapes were taken;
- (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the individual taking the photographs, films, or videotapes; and
- (e) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the original or a copy of the photographs, films, or videotapes.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4:**

There are plenty of videos and evidence from discord.

///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.4 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is a nothing response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF know of any diagram, reproduction, or model of any place or thing (except for items developed by expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure sections 2034.210–2034.310) concerning the INCIDENT? If so, for each item state:

- (a) the type (i.e., diagram, reproduction, or model);
- (b) the subject matter; and
- (c) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each

**PERSON** who has it.

#### COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5:

n/a

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.5 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can

LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK 9963 Santa Monica Bivd., 458 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone: (310) 990-4348
---

be obtained from	sources under hi	is control."	Deyo v.	Kilbourne,	84 Cal.	App.	3d 7	71, 78	33
(1978); Regency	Health Services,	Inc. v. Sup	.Ct. (Set	tles) (1998)	64 Cal.	App.	4th	1496,	1504

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6

Was a report made by any **PERSON** concerning the **INCIDENT?** If so, state:

- (a) the name, title, identification number, and employer of
- the **PERSON** who made the report;
- (b) the date and type of report made;
- (c) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the
- PERSON for whom the report was made; and
- (d) the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each
- **PERSON** who has the original or a copy of the report.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6:**

Four people have submitted statement, please refer to those

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.6 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct. (Settles)* (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is not a response. Plaintiff thinks that Court Orders and discovery are a joke. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff

LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK	9963 Santa Monica Blvd., 458 Beverly Hills, California 90210	Telephone: (310) 990-4348 Facsimile: (310) 921-5398
---------------------------------	--	--

simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's 1 2 Complaint should be dismissed. 3 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7 Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF inspected the scene of 4 the **INCIDENT?** If so, for each inspection state: 5 (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the 6 individual making the inspection (except for expert witnesses covered by Code of Civil Procedure 7 sections 2034.210–2034.310); and (b) the date of the inspection. 8 COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7: 9 I don't know what "Incident" is being referred to. 10 WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 12.7 IS IN VIOLATION OF 11 THE COURT'S ORDER 12 Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as complete and straightforward as 13 the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) 14 and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from 15 sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can 16 be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 17 (1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup. Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504. 18 There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by 19 the Court. It's Plaintiff's Complaint. Only Plaintiff knows. This interrogatory is a Judicial 20 Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct 21 an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. 22 Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed. 23 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1 24 Have YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF conducted surveillance of 25 any individual involved in the **INCIDENT** or any party to this action? If so, for each sur-26 veillance state: 27 (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the

25

individual or party;

(b) the time, date, and place of the surveillance;

(c) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the

individual who conducted the surveillance; and 1 (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the original or a copy of any 2 surveillance photograph, film, or videotape. 3 COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1: 4 n/a 5 WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF 6 THE COURT'S ORDER 7 Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as complete and straightforward as 8 the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) 9 and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from 10 sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can 11 be obtained from sources under his control." Deyo v. Kilbourne, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 12 (1978); Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup. Ct. (Settles) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504. 13 There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by 14 the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and 15 should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of 16 Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating 17 Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed. 18 FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.2 19

Has a written report been prepared on them surveillance? If so, for each written report state:

(a) the title;

n/a

- (b) the date;
- (c) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the individual who prepared the report; and
- (d) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of each **PERSON** who has the original or a copy.

#### 25

COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 13.2:

27 ///

20

21

22

23

24

26

28

26

## WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 13.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1**

Do YOU OR ANYONE ACTING ON YOUR BEHALF contend that any PERSON involved in the INCIDENT violated any statute, ordinance, or regulation and that the violation was a legal (proximate) cause of the INCIDENT? If so, identify the name, ADDRESS, and telephone number of each PERSON and the statute, ordinance, or regulation that was violated.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1:**

n/a

#### WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF

#### THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.2

Was any **PERSON** cited or charged with a violation of any statute, ordinance, or regulation as a result of this **INCIDENT?** If so, for each **PERSON** state:

- (a) the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the **PERSON**;
- (b) the statute, ordinance, or regulation allegedly violated;
- (c) whether the **PERSON** entered a plea in response to the citation or charge and, if so, the plea entered; and
- (d) the name and **ADDRESS** of the court or administrative agency, names of the parties, and case number.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 14.2:**

n/a

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14.2 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. N/A is not a response. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to conduct an investigation of Plaintiff. It is outrageous that Plaintiff simply ignored the Court's Order. Terminating Sanctions should be issued and Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

28 ///

#### FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1

Is your response to each request for admission served with these interrogatories an unqualified admission? If not, for each response that is not an unqualified admission:

- (a) state the number of the request;
- (b) state all facts on which you base your response;
- (c) state the names, **ADDRESSES**, and telephone numbers of all **PERSONS** who have knowledge of those facts; and
- (d) identify all **DOCUMENTS** and other tangible things that support your response and state the name, **ADDRESS**, and telephone number of the **PERSON** who has each **DOCUMENT** or thing.

#### **COURT ORDERED RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1:**

I will not be listing the names of my mother, family members, coworkers, colleagues, investors, or anything of the sort in any sort of public document. Four people have written letters on my behalf and will testify to the statements made in those letters or even give it a sworn statement. However, in dealing with, "the Troll mafia", I will not be submitting any of my personal contact information in public documents. I'm the one suing Michael Pertini, I'm not being sued.

# WHY THIS RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 17.1 IS IN VIOLATION OF THE COURT'S ORDER

Each answer in an interrogatory response must be "as *complete* and *straightforward* as the information reasonably available to the responding party permits." CCP §§ 2030.220(a) and (b). Finally, in answering interrogatories, a party must furnish information available from sources under the party's control. "[A party] cannot plead ignorance to information which can be obtained from sources under his control." *Deyo v. Kilbourne*, 84 Cal. App. 3d 771, 783 (1978); *Regency Health Services, Inc. v. Sup.Ct.* (*Settles*) (1998) 64 Cal. App. 4th 1496, 1504.

There is no justification not to respond to this basic interrogatory that was ordered by the Court. This is not a response. His statement "I'm the one suing Michael Pertini, I'm not being sued" speaks volumes. Plaintiff will never respond to discovery. This interrogatory is a Judicial Form Interrogatory and should be responded to. Defendant needs this information to

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

2

1

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

4

3

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 9663 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 458, Beverly Hills, California 90210.

6

5

On January 31, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

7

8

SEPARATE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT MICHAEL PIERATTINI'S MOTION FOR TERMINATING SANCTIONS CONCERNING DEFENDANT MICHAEL PIERATTINI'S FORM INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF JOSE DECASTRO, SET ONE

9

10

on the interested parties to this action addressed as follows:

11

Steven T. Gebelin, Esq. LESOWITZ GEBELIÑ LLP 8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800 Beverly Hills, CA 90211

12

steven@lawbylg.com

13

14

15

(BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the person above.

16

(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) by causing a true and correct copy of the above documents to be hand delivered in sealed envelope(s) with all fees fully paid to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth above.

18

17

(BY EMAIL) I caused such documents to be delivered via electronic mail to the email address for Defendant indicated above.

20

19

Executed January 31, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

21

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true and correct.

23

22

24

25

26

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

Paul Karrinak