28

## LAW OFFICES OF R. PAUL KATRINAK 9663 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 458 Beverly Hills, California 90210 (310) 990-4448

## REQUEST TO CONTINUE TO ADDRESS THIS GAMESMANSHIP

Plaintiff's utter disrespect for opposing counsel and the Court continues. He has been sanctioned multiple times for his conduct. Nonetheless, he has the Court work up this motion and he sends some nonsense discovery at 8 p.m. the night before the hearing on this Motion for sanctions, and he assumes that is it?

Plaintiff would have this court believe that, despite simply ignoring basic discovery for a year and not paying any of this court's sanctions for his prior conduct, that he is allowed to send some nonsense, and then the motion for sanctions just comes off calendar. That is not how discovery works. No one would ever answer discovery if that was the case. As explained in Brown & Weil:

[8:1137] **For FAILURE to respond:** If a party to whom interrogatories were directed fails to serve a timely response, the propounding party may move for an order compelling responses and for a monetary sanction. [CCP § 2030.290(b); see *Sinaiko Healthcare Consulting, Inc. v. Pacific Healthcare Consultants* (2007) 148 CA4th 390, 404, 55 CR3d 751, 760 (citing text)]

The motion to compel may be heard even if *tardy responses* are served *after* the motion is filed. Unless the propounding party takes the matter off calendar, the court may determine whether the responses are legally sufficient and award sanctions for the failure to respond on time. [*Sinaiko Healthcare Consulting*, *Inc. v. Pacific Healthcare Consultants*, *supra*, 148 CA4th at 410-411, 55 CR3d at 765]

Brown & Weil, California Practice Guide: Civil Procedure Before Trial, Section 8:1137 (2024 update). Accordingly, we ask the court continue this hearing and the trial to let us address these issues. We will address the fact that these responses are so deficient that they are no real responses at all, and the case should be terminated. We will also amend our MSJ to show that nothing has been produced to show any damages or liability.

DATED: January 22, 2025

R. RAUL KATRINAK

THE LAW OFFICES OF

R. Paul Karrinak Attorneys for Defendant Michael Pierattini

## PROOF OF SERVICE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

4

1

2

3

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California; I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 9663 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 458, Beverly Hills, California 90210.

5

On January 23, 2025, I served the foregoing document(s) described as:

6

7

BRIEF REGARDING NONSENSE DISCOVERY SERVED THE NIGHT `BEORE THE HEARING ON THIS MOTION FOR SANCTIONS

8

REQUEST TO CONTINUE MOTION FOR SANCTIONS, AND CONTINUE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AS WELL AS THE TRIAL

9

on the interested parties to this action addressed as follows:

10

11

Steven T. Gebelin, Esq. LESOWITZ GEBELIN LLP 8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 800 Beverly Hills, CA 90211 steven@lawbylg.com

12

13

(BY MAIL) I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the person above.

14

15

16

(BY PERSONAL SERVICE) by causing a true and correct copy of the above documents to be hand delivered in sealed envelope(s) with all fees fully paid to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth above.

17

 $\underline{\mathbf{X}}$  (BY EMAIL) I caused such documents to be delivered via electronic mail to the email address for counsel indicated above.

18 19

Executed January 23, 2025, at Los Angeles, California.

2021

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the above is true and correct.

22

R. Paul Karrinak

2324

25

26

27

28